



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/837,333	04/19/2001	Hiroshi Hamagaki	4495-012	8572	
7590 03/12/2004		EXAMINER			
LOWE HAUPTMAN GOPSTEIN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP Suite 310 1700 Diagonal Road Alexandria, VA 22314			TUNG, KEE M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2676		
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004	\int_{0}^{r}	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/837,333	HAMAGAKI, HIROSHI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Kee M Tung	2676				
	The MAILING DATE of this communicat						
	or Reply						
THE - External afternal aftern	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dato period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No sy statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>25 February 2004</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	_ ,,						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7</u> is/are rejected.						
•	☐ Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are objected to.						
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
لسازاا	The dath of declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attack	led Office Action of form PTO-132.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doce 2. Certified copies of the priority doce 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of	numents have been received. The priority documents have be bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachmer		🗖 .					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/837,333

Art Unit: 2676

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed 2/25/04 has been considered in preparing this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ugajin et al (6,268,869 hereinafter "Ugajin") in view of Moriya (6,449,687).

Ugajin teaches a consecutive reading method for a computer game (Fig. 1) for reading field data (graphics data and texture data) from a storage device (23) into a memory (5 and 11) in a computer, comprising reading (16 and 10) the field data to be resident in memory and displayed on a monitor screen (22). It is noted that Ugajin fails explicitly teach or suggest that deleting segment field data resident in memory and reading new segment field data into memory based on the player's position. This is what Moriya teaches. Moriya teaches at the time a new stage is started (in a new position), the content of the RAM is updated which means the old data in the memory is replaced by the new data (col. 6, line 1 to col. 7, line 23). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the present invention was made to combine the teachings of Moriya into the system of Ugajin in order to replace old memory information by the new information and then display in the screen when the player more from one

Application/Control Number: 09/837,333

Art Unit: 2676

position to another (such as, from one stage to another in the game when the player is progressing). Therefore, at least claims 1, 2 and 7 would have been obvious.

As per claims 3 and 4, Ugajin fails to explicitly teach or suggest the size of field data in units of segments and sectors. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the present invention was made to modify the teachings of Ugajin in order to read data in the speed corresponding to the change of the game, the player's progress from one scene to another. Therefore, at least claims 3 and 4 would have been obvious.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kee M Tung whose telephone number is 703-305-9660. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 5:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on 703-308-6829. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/837,333

Art Unit: 2676

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Kee M Tung Primary Examiner Art Unit 2676